

केन्द्रीयसूचनाआयोग
Central Information Commission
बाबागंगनाथमार्ग, मुनिरका
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
नईदिल्ली, New Delhi - 110067

द्वितीयअपीलसंख्या / Second Appeal No.:- CIC/MORLY/A/2021/645365-UM

Mr. Nikhil Khurana

....अपीलकर्ता/Appellant

VERSUS

बनाम

The CPIO/Nodal Officer (RTI Cell)
Ministry of Railways (Rail Mantralaya),
Railway Board, Rail Bhavan, Raisina Road,
New Delhi -110001

....प्रतिवादीगण /Respondent

Date of Hearing : 21.11.2022
Date of Decision : 22.11.2022

Date of RTI application	27.05.2021
CPIO's response	01.07.2021
Date of the First Appeal	13.08.2021
First Appellate Authority's response	27.08.2021
Date of diarized receipt of Appeal by the Commission	Nil

ORDER

FACTS

The Appellant vide RTI application sought information, as under:-

- 1.Please provide minutes of meeting held at IRTTC 2021 held via online/offline mode regarding extension of trains,increasing in frequency of trains & starting of new trains along with other proposals if any.
- 2.Provide provide agendas received from various zones in IRTTC 2021 held via online/offline mode regarding extension of trains,increasing in frequency of trains & starting of new trains along with other proposals if any.

The CPIO vide letter dated 01.07.2021, furnished a reply to the Appellant, as under:-

Reply :- 1 & 2. As of now, no minutes pertaining to IRTTC-2021 has been drawn. Besides, the proposal/minutes, being a base material for forth coming Budget, are "confidential" in nature and as such cannot be shared at present.

Dissatisfied with the reply received from the PIO, the Appellant filed a First Appeal. The FAA vide order dated 27.08.2021, disposed off the Appeal. Thereafter, the Appellant filed a Second Appeal before the Commission.

HEARING:

Facts emerging during the hearing:

The following were present:

Appellant: The appellant attended the hearing in person.

Respondent: The respondent Shri Vivek Kumar Sinha, CPIO/Dy. Director (Coaching) attended the hearing in person.

The Appellant reiterated the contents of the RTI application and submitted that the information was wrongly denied to him by the CPIO. The Respondent present during the hearing submitted that a suitable response in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, had already been furnished to the Appellant. Further, the respondent informed us that at the time of application the budget was not announced but now they can provide the information to the appellant.

DECISION:

Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties and after perusal of the documents available on record, the Commission directs the Respondent to furnish complete and correct information, free of cost, to the Appellant, in accordance with the spirit of transparency and accountability as enshrined in the RTI Act, 2005 within a period of 21 days from the date of receipt of this order under the intimation to the Commission.

The Appeal stands disposed accordingly.

(Uday Mahurkar) (उदय माहूरकर)
(Information Commissioner) (सूचना आयुक्त)

Authenticated true copy

(अभिप्रमाणित एवं सत्यापित प्रति)

(R. K. Rao) (आर.के. राव)

(Dy. Registrar) (उप-पंजीयक)

011-26182598

दिनांक / Date: 22.11.2022